

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION N	10.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR JACOB RICHTER	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/004,420		01/08/1998		260048601	1198
26646	7590	12/13/2002			
	N & KEN	YON	EXAMINER		
ONE BROADWAY NEW YORK, NY 10004				NASSER, ROBERT L	
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				3736	
				DATE MAILED: 12/13/2002	2

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/004,420

Applicant(s)

Richter et al

Examiner

Robert Nasser

Art Unit **3736**



	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet with the correspondence address
Period 1	for Reply	
	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM
	ions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In a date of this communication.	no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the
- If the p	period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within th	
- Failure	to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the	
	ply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of t patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	his communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any
Status	,	
1) 💢	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>Sep 20, 2</u>	002
2a) 🗌	This action is FINAL . 2b) This act	ion is non-final.
3) 🗌	Since this application is in condition for allowance eclosed in accordance with the practice under $Ex\ partial$	except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is rte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.
Disposi	tion of Claims	
4) 💢	Claim(s) <u>1-84</u>	is/are pending in the application.
4	la) Of the above, claim(s) <u>20-23 and 41-69</u>	is/are withdrawn from consideration.
5) 💢	Claim(s) 10, 15-19, 33, and 34	is/are allowed.
6) 💢	Claim(s) 1, 2, 4, 5, 12, 14, 24-32, 35-38, 70, 74,	and 76-84 is/are rejected.
7) 💢	Claim(s) 3, 6-9, 11, 13, 39, 40, 71-73, and 75	is/are objected to.
8) 🗆	Claims	are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.
Applica	ition Papers	
9) 🗆	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are	a) \square accepted or b) \square objected to by the Examiner.
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the d	
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) \square approved b) \square disapproved by the Examiner.
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply	to this-Office action.
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exami	ner.
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	
13)	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign p	riority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) [☐ All b)☐ Some* c)☐ None of:	
	1. \square Certified copies of the priority documents hav	e been received.
	2. \square Certified copies of the priority documents hav	e been received in Application No
	 Copies of the certified copies of the priority deapplication from the International Bure 	ocuments have been received in this National Stage au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
*S	ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of the	e certified copies not received.
14) 🗌	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).
a) [
15)	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.
Attachm		
	otice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).
	otice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) formation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s). 21-23	5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
3) [X] In	formation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s). 2720	6) U Other:

Application/Control Number: 09/004420 Page 2

Art Unit: 3736

Claims 20-23 and 41-69 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made without traverse in Paper No. 8.

35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Claim 84 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter. The claim recites a positive relationship to the human body. The human body is non-statutory and cannot be positively recited, Applicant should recite that the device is adapted not to contact the vessel, or use similar language.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 71, and 81-84 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Anderson et al. Anderson et al shows a device including a sensor 72, a sensor support, e.g. the element between glass 80 and the sensor 72, where the support is coupled to tines 50, indirectly. Anderson shows the remaining features.

Application/Control Number: 09/004420 Page 3

Art Unit: 3736

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 2, 4, 5, 12, 14, 24-32, 35-38, 70, 74, and 76-82 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Winston et al in view of Lazarus. Winston shows a sensor located on the interior wall of a stent, where it is not soldered to the stent. Lazarus teaches that it is desirable to include anchoring means 16 on stents to hold the stent in place during use. Hence, it would have been obvious to modify Winston et al to use the anchoring means, so as to prevent movement during use. As such, the anchoring means is the fixation device and the stent is the sensor support. It also teaches the recited method of fixing the sensor in place with a stent, noting that a stent is an anchoring ring. With respect to claims 30 and 31, the manner of attachment of the sensor to the support would have been obvious to one skilled in the art. The combination shows the remaining features.

Claims 3, 6-9, 11, 13, 39, 40, 71-73, and 75 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claims 10, 15-19, 33, and 34 are allowable.

Application/Control Number: 09/004420

Art Unit: 3736

Applicant's arguments filed 9/20/2002 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant's comments concerning the rejection based Winston et al are deemed moot in view of the new grounds of rejection.

Applicant has argued that the sensor support of Anderson is not coupled to the tines. The examiner disagrees, noting the coupled means connected to. Element 72 is coupled to element 82, and 80, which are coupled to the catheter which is coupled to the tines.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Van Bockel shows a similar device to applicant's claimed invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert L. Nasser Jr. whose telephone number is (703) 308-3251. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday and alternate Fridays from 8:30 to 6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Max Hindenburg, can be reached on (703) 308-3130. The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 308-0758.

Communications via Internet e-mail regarding this application, other than those under 35 U.S.C. 132 or which otherwise require a signature, may be used by the applicant and should be addressed to [max.hindenburg@uspto.gov].

All Internet e-mail communications will be made of record in the application file. PTO employees do not engage in Internet communications where there exists a possibility that sensitive information could be identified or exchanged unless the record includes a properly signed express waiver of the confidentiality requirements of 35 U.S.C. 122. This is more clearly set forth in the Interim Internet Usage Policy published in the Official Gazette of the Patent and Trademark on February 25, 1997 at 1195 OG 89.

Application/Control Number: 09/004420

Page 5

Art Unit: 3736

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0858.

RLN

December 10, 2002

ROBERT L. NASSER

PRIMARY EXAMINER